

PREDICTIVE FACTORS FOR FOR RADIAL SHOCK WAVE THERAPY IN THE TREATMENT OF CHRONIC PLANTAR FASCIOPATHY



JAVIER CRUPNIK, PT ALDO BUSTOS, MD



Introduction

Plantar fasciitis is the most common cause of heel pain.

The term 'plantar fasciitis' implies an inflammatory condition. However, various lines of evidence indicate that this disorder is better classified as 'fasciopathy', as heel pain is associated with degenerative changes in the fascia.



Introduction

The safety and efficacy of ESWT (focused and radial) for chronic PF has been assessed in a variety of randomized clinical trials.



CHOILE))

Introduction

However, not all patients with chronic PF respond positively to shockwave therapy.

THILE D)

Objectives

Describe prognostic factors in the treatment of chronic plantar fasciopathy with radial shockwave therapy to make a better selection of patients.



Hypothesis

There would be a series of prognostic factors in the treatment of chronic plantar fasciopathy with radial shockwave therapy such as:

Age, gender, body mass index (BMI), chronicity, previous physiotherapy treatments (PT), previous corticosteroids infiltrations, use of orthotics, presence of heel spur, anatomophysiological foot alterations and bilaterally.



Materials and Methods

Study design: A prospective cohort analytic study was performed in 58 patients for each prognostic factor.

The visual analog scale (VAS) and satisfaction Roles & Maudsley scale was used to evaluate response to treatment.



Prognostic factors

Age > 50

- Gender
- BMI altered
- More than 12 months chronicity
- Corticosteroids infiltrations prior present
- Non-use of orthotics
- Presence of heel spur
- Dig or flat feet

Physiotherapy treatments Bilaterally prior present



Inclusion criteria

Patients with chronic plantar fasciopathy for at least 3 months of duration Diagnosed by a physician Completed the treatment

Submit the factors to be evaluated



Exclusion criteria

Patients who did not complete the treatment

Patients who did not sign the consent

Patients who did not manage to understand scales measuring results ISMST general contraindications

CHEER))

Measurement Methods

Initially evaluated and followed for at least 3 months

Visual Analog Scale for the first steps in the morning with a further decrease to 60%.

I and II of Roles & Maudsley Scale

Residu Eshacorponeal Shock Wave Therapy Is Safe and Effective in the Treatment of Chronic dopr Gentermaye. Can'd Fey, Internet: Neal Printer Faculty (See Julies Web 2), Lovel Web 29, Martin Russies, John Stendard, Ewy Scores, 1999) 389, Vietna Nara Contribution (Section 2006) 399, Safety (Section 2007) 399, Safety

Study procedures

All patients were treated by the same professional and under the same protocol

Weekly, 3 sessions of 2500 impacts

8 Hz of frequency

Between 3 and 4 bar of intensity

(energy density 0.1-0.16 mJ/mm2)



Study procedures

Swiss DolorClast Classic (Electro Medical Systems)



Plus the implementation of an exercise home program

Exercises home program

series of factorized BT- factorized BF- Different Strength (BT- series), ABT- series BT- factorized BF- series BF- series BF- series and the series and the series BF- factorized BF- series and the series BF- series and the series a	
Heel Pain—Plantar Fasciitis: Revision 2014	

Plantar fascia, gastrocnemius/soleus stretching

Joint mobilization to improve identified restrictions in joint mobility of the lower extremity (talocrural dorsiflexion)

Soft tissue mobilization of the plantar fascia, gastrocnemius and soleus myofascia, specifically targeting trigger points and areas of soft tissue restriction





Address/discuss strategies

Heel Pain—Plantar Fasciitis: Revision 2014

To modify relevant weight-bearing loads during occupational, recreational, or daily activities

Footwear options to mitigate commonly occurring weight-loading stresses

To gain or maintain optimal lean body mass, especially in nonathletic individuals with a high body mass index

0106508 D10018 **D))**

Statistical analysis

Prognostic factors for each cohort were performed separately by EPIDAT4 system through statistical analysis.



Results

Statistically significant positive prognostic factors:

Fifty years older or more (RR: 0.42; IC: 0.19-0.85; P: 0.0024)

Female (RR: 0.23, IC: 0.09-0.6; P: 0.002)

Obesity (BMI >30) (RR: 0.35, IC: 0.17-0.71; P: 0.003)

Presence of heel spur (RR: 0.45; IC:0.24 -8.1; P: 0.02)



Results

No statistically significant differences in response to treatment:

Completed prior physiotherapy treatments (RR: 0.92; CI: 0.32-2.6; P: 0.5)

Previous corticosteroids infiltrations (RR: 1.05, IC: 0.5-1.9; P: 0.5)

Use of orthotics (RR: 1.11; IC: 0.5-2.1; P: 0.5)



Results

Statistically significant negative predictors:

High or flat foot arches (RR 2.9; IC: 1.2-7.1; P: 0.06) Chronicity >12 months (RR: 3.7; IC: 2.1-6.7; P: 0.001) Bilateral disease (RR: 2.7; IC: 1.6-4.2; P: 0.01)



Conclusion

The study shows with statistical support that patients respond better to treatment would be:

Over 50, female, with no more than 12 months of chronicity, unilateral disease, without anatomical and physiological foot alterations.



Conclusion

Obesity (BMI >30) and the presence of heel spur were statistically significant positive predictors

We believe this is because the main limitation of this study is that we used the same population approached from different prognostic factors to form cohorts



Conclusion

The best study design would be a multivariate analysis to assess and quantify which is the real weight of each variable.

The idea of this team is to make a multivariate study when the sampling is complete.

We also believe it is important to make the presentation of these preliminary results since they will serve to kicked a further and greater statistical power study in the future.



Conclusion

A high concordance rate when evaluating results between the VAS and R & M, so that the results of both are not discriminated found.

16/06/2015

Thank you for your attention

